

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING
SECURITIES LITIGATION

This document relates to all cases.

21 MC 92 (SAS)

COMPENDIUM OF OBJECTIONS

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD LLP

10 East 40th Street
New York, New York 10016
(212) 779-1414

BARROWAY TOPAZ KESSLER

MELTZER & CHECK, LLP
280 King of Prussia Road
Radnor, Pennsylvania 19087
(610) 667-7706

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER

FREEMAN & HERZ LLP
270 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 545-4600

MILBERG LLP

One Pennsylvania Plaza
New York, New York 10119
(212) 594-5300

STULL STULL & BRODY

6 East 45th Street
New York, New York 10017
(212) 687-7230

HOWARD B. SIROTA

125 Beach 128th Street
Belle Harbor, New York 11694
(212) 425-9055

Plaintiffs' Executive Committee

IN RE IPO SECURITIES LITIGATION, 21 MC 92
SCHEDULE OF OBJECTIONS TO SETTLEMENT

TAB NO.	DATE	COUNSEL OR PRO SE OBJECTOR NAME(S)	ISSUER(S) LISTED
1.	7/10/09	Brian L. Abrams	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Priceline.com, Inc. StarMedia Network, Inc. Terra Networks, S.A.
2.	7/7/09	Mark A. Absher	None
3.	7/13/09	Fred C. Aldridge Jr.	Internet Capital Group, Inc.
4.	7/7/09	Geoffrey R. Alms	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Akamai Technologies, Inc.
5.	7/14/09	Robert D. Atwood	None
6.	7/22/09	Theodore A. Bechtold, Esq.	None
7.	7/29/09	Theodore A. Bechtold, Esq.	None
8.	8/5/09	Theodore A. Bechtold, Esq.	None
9.	8/9/09	Theodore A. Bechtold, Esq.	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Akamai Technologies, Inc. Ariba, Inc. Avanex Corp. Backweb Technologies, Ltd. Bookham Technology PLC Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. Caliper Technologies Corp. Clarent Corp. Commerce One, Inc. Copper Mountain Networks, Inc. Critical Path, Inc. Cybersource Corporation deCode Genetics, Inc. Digital Impact, Inc. Earthweb, Inc. E-LOAN, Inc. EToys, Inc. Exfo Electro Optical Engineering, Inc. Extreme Networks, Inc. F5 Networks, Inc. Finisar Corporation FirePond, Inc. Globespan, Inc. GOTO, Inc. Handspring, Inc.

TAB NO.	DATE	COUNSEL OR PRO SE OBJECTOR NAME(S)	ISSUER(S) LISTED
			Imanage, Inc. Immersion Corp. Integrated Information Systems, Inc. InterNAP Network Services Corp. Internet Capital Group, Inc. Interwave Communications International, Ltd Interwoven, Inc. ITXC Corp. iXL Enterprises JNI Corp. Juniper Networks Inc. Latitude Communications, Inc. Liquid Audio, Inc. Multex.Com, Inc. Netcentives, Inc. NetSolve, Inc. Netzero, Inc. Next Level Communications, Inc. NorthPoint Communications Group, Inc. OpenTV Corp. Pacific Internet Ltd. Perot Systems Corp. Priceline.com, Inc. Prodigy Communications, Inc. PSI Technologies Holdings, Inc. Radware Ltd. Razorfish, Inc. Red Hat, Inc. Redback Networks, Inc. Sequenom, Inc. Silicon Image, Inc. Sycamore Networks, Inc. Terra Networks, S.A. Ticketmaster Online Inc. Tivo, Inc. UTStarcom, Inc. VA Linux Systems, Inc. Value America, Inc. WebMD Corp. Webvan Group, Inc. Wireless Facilities, Inc.
10.	8/5/09	Stephen Berestka	Perot Systems Corp.

TAB NO.	DATE	COUNSEL OR PRO SE OBJECTOR NAME(S)	ISSUER(S) LISTED
			Radware Ltd.
11.	7/7/09	Marilyn & Neil Bersch	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Ask Jeeves, Inc. Global Crossing Ltd. Globespan, Inc. McAfee.com Corp.
12.	7/9/09	Gaurang T. Bhatt	Oplink Communications, Inc.
13.	7/20/09	William & Claire Clausen	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
14.	7/29/09	Letigia A. Coartney	Copper Mountain Networks, Inc.
15.	N/A	John Conlin	WebMD Corp.
16.	7/10/09	Michael G. Cowan	Red Hat, Inc.
17.	7/22/09	Jonathan A. Cunitz	None
18.	7/17/09	Robert J. Federman	None
19.	8/10/09	Blair Fensterstock, Esq.	NorthPoint Communications Group, Inc. Vignette Corp.
20.	7/6/09	Arnold Flick, M.D.	None
21.	7/14/09	Albert J. Francione	Global Crossing Ltd.
22.	7/7/09	Esther Gushner	Internet Capital Group, Inc. Liberate Technologies
23.	7/14/09	Gary L. Hall	Pacific Internet Ltd.
24.	7/6/09	Erin Hall Meade	Tibco Software, Inc.
25.	8/10/09	James J. Hayes	deCode Genetics, Inc. JNI Corp. Ticketmaster Online Inc.
26.	N/A	Eva Herz	None
27.	7/28/09	Reid S. Jaffe	Merrill Lynch Internet Infrastructure HOLDERS Merrill Lynch B2B Internet HOLDERS
28.	N/A	Lee C. Jensen	Extreme Networks, Inc.
29.	7/11/09	Richard Kawski	Global Crossing Ltd. Terra Networks, S.A. Loudeye Technologies, Inc. Intersil Holding Corp.
30.	7/8/09	John S. Kennedy	EToys, Inc.
31.	7/21/09	Nan Buford Kipp	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
32.	7/23/09	James K. Koch	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
33.	8/2/09	Kenneth A. Kuhn	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
34.	7/8/09	Mark G. Leonard	None
35.	7/19/09	Martin Lerner	Clarent Corp.
36.	7/8/09	Greg Linden	Ask Jeeves, Inc. Drugstore.com, Inc.

TAB NO.	DATE	COUNSEL OR PRO SE OBJECTOR NAME(S)	ISSUER(S) LISTED
			E.Piphany, Inc. Red Hat, Inc. Terra Networks, S.A.
37.	8/10/09	Kent MacLaughlin	Breakaway Solutions Delano Technology Corp. E-Toys Vertical Networks [sic]
38.	7/8/09	T. Duncan MacVicar	New Focus, Inc.
39.	8/6/09	Robert Margulies, Esq.	Caldera Systems, Inc. Orchid Biosciences Priceline.com, Inc.
40.	8/5/09	Rachel Messenger Fox	Merrill Lynch B2B Internet HOLDERS
41.	8/10/09	Steve A. Miller	Alloy Online, Inc. Autoweb.com, Inc. BSquare Corp. Clarent Corp. Commerce One, Inc. Digital Island, Inc. E-LOAN, Inc. F5 Networks, Inc. Informatica Corp. iXL Enterprises Liberate Technologies Net Perceptions, Inc. Netcentives, Inc. Perot Systems Corp. Primus Knowledge Solutions, Inc. Prodigy Communications, Inc. Ravisent Technologies, Inc. Retek, Inc. StarMedia Network, Inc. Tivo, Inc. US Internetworking, Inc. Valley Media, Inc. VerticalNet, Inc. Virata Corp.
42.	8/7/09	Alfred R. Moran, Jr.	Webvan Group, Inc.
43.	7/7/09	Earl Morgenstern	Redhead [sic]
44.	8/12/09	Raymond O'Connor	DoubleClick, Inc.
45.	7/28/09	Thomas J. Opladen	Akamai Technologies, Inc.
46.	8/10/09	Darrell Palmer, Esq.	Autoweb.com, Inc. Copper Mountain Networks, Inc. Covad Communications Group, Inc.

TAB NO.	DATE	COUNSEL OR PRO SE OBJECTOR NAME(S)	ISSUER(S) LISTED
			Gadzoox Networks, Inc. Internet Capital Group, Inc. NaviSite, Inc. NorthPoint Communications Group, Inc. Rhythms NetConnections, Inc.
47.	8/2/09	Dave & Susan Park	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
48.	7/13/09	Douglas M. Parker	Agile Software Corp. Agilent Technologies Inc. Brocade Communications BSquare Corp. Commerce One, Inc. Copper Mountain Networks, Inc. Corvis Corp. Covad Communications Group, Inc. Double Click Inc. Engage Technologies F5 Networks, Inc. Fatbrain.com Finisar Corp. Global Crossing Ltd. Globespan, Inc. GRIC Communications, Inc. Immersion Corp. ITXC Corp. Juniper Networks Liberate Technologies Matrixone, Inc. Modem Media Omnisky Corp. Openwave Systems Redback Networks Retek, Inc. Sycamore Networks, Inc. Ventro Corp. WebMD Corp.
49.	8/1/09	Douglas M. Parker	None
50.	8/4/09	John J. Pentz, Esq.	Ariba, Inc. Data Return Corp.
51.	7/21/09	Larry M. Pollack	Razorfish, Inc. Radware Ltd.
52.	8/5/09	George W. Putman	Internet Capital Group, Inc. Merrill Lynch Internet Infrastructure HOLDERS

TAB NO.	DATE	COUNSEL OR PRO SE OBJECTOR NAME(S)	ISSUER(S) LISTED
			Merrill Lynch B2B Internet HOLDERS
53.	7/6/09	Niels Reimers	None
54.	7/20/09	Steve Ricci	Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc.
55.	8/10/09	Michael J. Rinis Babette B. Rinis	None
56.	7/27/09	Heinz Rosen	Priceline.com, Inc.
57.	8/10/09	Edward Rudofsky, Esq.	Agile Software Corp. Ariba, Inc. Chinadotcom Corp. Vignette Corp.
58.	7/28/09	Jim Rushton	Exchange Applications, Inc.
59.	N/A	S. Lee Ruslander II	Openwave Systems, Inc.
60.	7/18/09	Richard & Marget Schultz	Red Hat, Inc.
61.	7/7/09	Phil Sehorn	None
62.	7/6/09	Anthony Shupenko, Jr.	Global Crossing Ltd.
63.	7/8/09	Joseph Siegman	Openwave Systems, Inc.
64.	7/28/09	Tomaz Slivnik	Virata Corp.
65.	7/9/09	William Sword, Jr.	ITXC Corp.
66.	7/17/09	Helen Thompson	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Avanex Corp. Choice One Communications, Inc. Cobalt Networks, Inc. Digital Island, Inc. Network Plus Corp.
67.	8/10/09	William M. Thon	drkoop.com, Inc.
68.	7/12/09	William Tuccio	Ibeam Broadcasting Corp.
69.	7/23/09	Abby Turk	None
70.	7/13/09	James P. Tuthill	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
71.	8/6/09	Aldona Ulanecka	Daleen Technologies, Inc.
72.	7/6/09	Marc K. Unterhalter	None
73.	8/8/09	James White	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Agile Software Corp. DeltaThree.com DoubleClick, Inc. Red Hat, Inc. Palm, Inc.
74.	7/27/09	Peter A. Williams	Global Crossing Ltd.
75.	N/A	John B. Yellott, Jr.	Red Hat, Inc.
76.	8/1/09	Douglas G. Yule	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
77.	8/10/09	Thomas Zimmerman, Esq.	Handspring, Inc.

TAB 1

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court
Southern District of New York

July 10, 2009

I am objecting to the proposed settlement in the in re Initial Public Offering Litigation, 21 MC 92 (SAS). On the following grounds.

1. It is unreasonable to require the settlement class members to document transactions that occurred close to ten years ago.
2. The same discovery that revealed the identity of settlement class members through securities sales also revealed purchase and sales data that verify class member's claims are authentic and any additional documentation request is merely an attempt by settlement attorneys to limit payouts and retain funds for their own nefarious purposes.
3. Unless **ALL** persons who have incurred losses are remunerated it is unfair for the few who have access to broker records to be rewarded.

Claim number: 04617779
Brian L. Abrams
2822 Anderson Ct
Clearwater, Fl 33761
727 686 7753



Securities purchased: Agilent Technologies, Priceline, Star Media, Terra Networks.

TAB 2

Disappointment

Mark Absher

to: **Questions**

07/07/2009 10:15 AM

I just wanted to express my utter disappointment to the plaintiffs' lawyers in this case. In my estimation, you sold out the plaintiffs' class. Who has records of stock transactions from 10 years ago? I have certain electronic records, dating back 7 years ago, and I believe that I'm the exception. Many of the brokerage firms with records are long gone.

Clearly, in order for you to have put my name on the list, you surely have better records that I do regarding my interest in the case. Yet, you allow an "opt in" approach and impose on me the burden to produce records that are impossible to produce.

If you want to serve the plaintiffs' class, do them a favor and remove the burden to recovery.

It is equally disappointing that the judge would approve such procedures. I can see the winks, nods and smiles in different contexts as the materials passed through various hands. It's a depressing black eye on our profession.

Mark A. Absher

TAB 3

Fred C. Aldridge, Jr.

Attorney at Law

Suite 1601
940 West Valley Road
Wayne, PA 19087

Telephone
610-975-9168

July 13, 2009

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court for the
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007
Attn: Presiding Judge

In re Initial Public Offering Litigation
21 MC 92 (SAS)
OBJECTION TO SETTLEMENT

Your Honor:

First I am sorry I could not address you personally but none of the documents I have received in this matter identified the judge before whom this matter is pending.

I object to the proposed settlement in the above matter.

Apparently, I am a member of the designated Class of litigant plaintiffs by reason of my purchase of common stock and call options of Internet Capital Group, Inc. during the Class Period, and might be benefitted by this Proposed Settlement if I were to undertake the task of researching my purchase and sale transactions in the stock and call options. I may also be a member of a Class of purchasers of one or more of the other Issuer Entities but to determine that would pose a substantial burden and expense and would not be economically feasible. Accordingly, my first reaction to the Notice of Settlement was simply to discard it and ignore any potential recoveries to which I might be entitled. I am sure thousands or tens of thousands of other potential Class members came to the same conclusion and decided to abandon their claims rather than suffer the burden and expense of determining whether they have recoverable claims, researching their claims and completing the required claim forms.

Accordingly, it appears to me that the Settlement proposed and the process it requires of the Plaintiff Classes is designed, intentionally or unintentionally, to deprive the Plaintiff Class Members (except, perhaps, very large shareholders and institutional shareholders) of their Due Process rights with the result that most members of Plaintiff Classes cannot reasonably be expected to recover any portion of their asserted losses; the 309 listed entities and/or their

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court for the
Southern District of New York
July 13, 2009
Page 2

underwriters will pay many millions of dollars and be relieved of the continuing burdens of the class action litigation; and the attorneys for the Plaintiff Classes will receive millions of dollars in "compensation" and reimbursement of their expenses as a reward for instituting and prosecuting the litigation, even though most Class Members will not be in any way benefitted.

That is just wrong. It is not justice or fairness in any sense. Why should our legal system support a procedure and practice that effectively benefits only class action counsel, the defendants in the actions and institutional and large shareholders of the affected companies, to the practical exclusion of individual and retail purchasers of relatively small holdings but whose total holdings could well represent a majority of all potential claims?

Accordingly, the proposed settlement, and any future proposed settlement, should be refused by the Court unless and until counsel for the asserted Classes and the defendants present to the Court a proposal which will realistically and economically feasibly permit all members of the asserted Class and sub-Classes to participate in the settlement in a meaningful way. That is what the Class Action Rules were designed to accomplish. If that is not possible, the settlement should be denied approval and the Class actions should be dismissed as legally inappropriate or infeasible. That would be more in line with justice than the result proposed which may benefit some but would effectively deny most plaintiffs the practical ability to participate. I am certain most members of the Plaintiff Classes would agree.

However, that should not be necessary. Given the size of the proposed Settlement pool of five hundred eighty-five million dollars, the Class Plaintiffs and the Defendants should certainly be able to identify the members of each Class who are eligible to participate and the information required on Schedule C of the Proof of Claim or at least the number of shares or other securities purchased in the Class Period, on which a settlement could be based. They obviously have that information and used it to prepare the yellow Summary "Frontispiece" accompanying the Notice. The only fair thing to do is to provide that information to the Plaintiff Class members, have them complete the "Claimant Information" and sign the "Representations Release and Signature" and submit it in a timely manner. Then all claimants could participate, not just large claimants with easily accessed records.

The inference arising from the failure to do this is that there may well be a calculated motive in not doing so, in order to preclude or discourage potential participants in the settlement from participating because of the small size of their potential recoveries and the time, effort and/or expense of researching the information required to complete the Claim Forms and qualify for participation.

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court for the
Southern District of New York
July 13, 2009
Page 3

I realize there may be potential for some errors or omissions in this type of procedure but it would certainly be more just and fair to all potential valid claimants than the process suggested in the Proposed Settlement.

I hope this is helpful to the Court.

Sincerely,



Fred C. Aldridge, Jr.

Copies Sent to Counsel for the Settlement
Classes and Defendants' Liaison Counsel
as Noted Below:

Rebecca M. Katz, Esq.
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 East 40th Street
New York, NY 10016

Ariana J. Tadler, Esq.
Milberg LLP
One Penn Plaza
New York, NY 10119

Jack C. Auspitz, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104

Gandolfo V. DiBlasi, Esq.
Sullivan & Cromwell, LLP
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004

TAB 4

